

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,079 10/31/2003		10/31/2003	Ziyun Wang	ATMI-594-CIP	1841
25559	7590	10/04/2006		EXAMINER	
ATMI, IN	IC. ERCE DRIV	F	BARTS, SAMUEL A		
DANBURY, CT 06810				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1621	

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/699,079	WANG ET AL.					
			xaminer	Art Unit	1				
		\$	Samuel A. Barts	1621					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	ication appea	rs on the cover sheet	with the correspondence	address				
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months at ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DAT of 37 CFR 1.136(a unication. ututory period will a will, by statute, ca	E OF THIS COMMU a). In no event, however, may apply and will expire SIX (6) No use the application to become	NICATION. y a reply be timely filed NONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <i>8/31/06</i>							
2a)□			tion is non-final.						
3)□	Since this application is in condition	for allowance	e except for formal m	atters, prosecution as to t	he merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-20 and 24-31</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)⊠	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-12 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠	Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or e	lection requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.							
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accept	ed or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the dra	wing(s) be held in abey	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	is required if the drawi	ng(s) is objected to. See 37	CFR 1.121(d).				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exan	niner. Note the attach	ned Office Action or form I	PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim t ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C	5. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
	1. Certified copies of the priority								
	2. Certified copies of the priority								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of	•		en received in this Nation	al Stage				
	application from the Internation	•							
* \$	See the attached detailed Office action	n tor a list of	tne certified copies n	ot received.					
			•						
Attachmen	• •		. 🗖						
1) 🔀 Notic 2) 🗍 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)		w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	. o-o-o)	5) 🔲 Notice o	of Informal Patent Application					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6)									

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 1-13 and 18-23 and the elected species of (HNBu^t)₂(NH₂)Si-Si(NH₂)(HNBu^t) in the reply filed on 8/31/06 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that searching multiples species would not be a serious burden to the examiner. This is not found persuasive because prior art anticipating and/or rendering obvious one species would not necessarily be pertinent to other species. The additional search required to examine multiple species would be a serious burden to the examiner.

Applicant has further requested rejoinder of non-elected subject if and when claims drawn to compounds and composition are found allowable. The examiner will rejoin the process claims, as long as the scope of process claims is the same as the allowed compound claims.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Status of Claims

Claims 14-17 and 24-31 are withdrawn from consideration
 Claims 18-20 are withdrawn from consideration as not being directed to the elected species.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1621

4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The elected species and the other species of claim 13 were searched and found to be novel and unobvious over the prior art of record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Yang et al¹.

Yang et al disclose the following compound²:

$$(Me)_2 N (Me)_2
| Me)_2 N - Si - Si - N(Me)_2
| N(Me)_2 N(Me)_2$$

This compound meets all the limitations of the claims. Some limitations are drawn to inherent properties. For example claims 5, 6 11, and 12 are drawn to properties of the compounds. These limitations are inherent properties of the compound taught in Yang et al.

¹ Organometallics 2000, 19 893-900, "Dislane-Catalyzed and Thermally Induces Oligomerization of Alkynes: A Comparison".

² See column 2 on page 898 lines 34-38.

Art Unit: 1621

7. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Kito³.

Kito discloses the following compound⁴:

This compound meets all the limitations of the claims for the same reasons given above

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103/102

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claims 21-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Kito (Chem. Abstract 1996:212092 and JP 08022986).

Kito teaches a compound which anticipates part "a" of the composition claim. The second part of the composition claim is part "b" which is drawn to the use of hydrocarbon solvents. The abstract is silent as to the use of these solvents. The patent may or may not disclose hydrocarbon solvents. If the patent discloses the use of hydrocarbon solvents the claims are

³ Chem. Abstract 1996:212092 and JP 08022986.

⁴ See abstract: A translation of the Japanese patent has be requested by the examiner.

Art Unit: 1621

anticipated. If the patent doesn't disclose hydrocarbon solvents the claim are obvious because the utility of the compound in Kito is the same as the instant invention. Thus it is reasonable to assume that a skilled artisan would use the same class of solvents to make silicon films.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel A. Barts whose telephone number is 571-272-2870. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Samuel A Barts Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1621